

Site: Tomato (Page 1)					Overall Confidence Rating: H			
Background: During 1994-96, there was a mean of 472,000 harvested acres (72% processed ¹⁸ , 28% fresh). CA, FL, OH, IN, and NY comprised 90% of the acreage ⁴ . Of the 874,000 acres treated, 17% were treated with organophosphates. Organophosphates were applied approximately 3.1 times per acre per year during the period ⁵ . Fresh tomatoes were treated much more than processed. CA produced about 95% of the processed and FL produced most of the fresh. The following insecticides have usage, registration, and tolerances ¹² for tomatoes.								
Organophosphate Pesticides	% Treated ¹		# Applications		Rate (lb AI/A)		PHI (days)	
	Max	Avg	Max ²	Avg ¹	Max ²	Avg ¹	Min ²	Avg
azinphos-methyl ^{1, 5, 10, 17}	25	15	4 ¹¹	1.5 ¹⁰	1.5	0.6 ¹⁰	0	7 ^{3a,c} 14 ^{3c,e,u}
methamidophos ^{1, 5, 10, 17}	Process 11 Fresh 90	Process 9 Fresh 58	5	Process 1.1 Fresh 4.2	1	Process 0.9 Fresh 0.8	7	14 ^{3a}
dimethoate ^{1, 5, 10, 17}	10	9	2 ³	1.4 ¹⁰	0.5	0.5 ¹⁰	7	7 ^{3a,c,e}
malathion ^{1, 5, 10, 17}	9	4	Not specified on labels	2.5	21.6	0.2	1	1 ^{3a}
diazinon ^{1, 5, 10, 17}	7	4	5	2	11.5	0.4	1	1 ^{3c} -60 ^{3a,t}
chlorpyrifos ^{1, 5, 10, 17}	4	2	8	1.4	1	Not Availab le	14	Not Availab le
methyl parathion ^{1, 5, 10}	3	1	Not specified on labels	1 ^{3d}	1.5	1 ^{7e}	5	15 ^{3a}
disulfoton ^{1, 5, 17}	0.1 ^{3a}	0	1	1	3	1.3	30	90 ^{3a}

Confidence Rating: H= high confidence = data from several confirming sources; confirmed by personal experience

M = medium confidence = data from only a few sources; may be some conflicting or unconfirmed info.

L = low confidence = data from only one unconfirmed source

Organophosphate Target Pests for Tomatoes ⁵	
Major	aphids (potato, green peach) ^{6,7a} , tomato pinworm; wireworms; whiteflies (silverleaf ^{7a}); leafminer (<i>Liriomyza</i> ^{7a})
Moderate	flea beetles; cutworm; symphylans; beet leafhopper ^{7a} ; tomato fruitworm; beet armyworm; Colorado potato beetle; fruit flies (<i>Drosophila</i> ^{7a}); crickets
Minor	thrips; stink bugs; lygus bugs

Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor =<5% of all OP usage on pest

Note: Fonofos, oxydemeton-methyl, dicrotophos, naled¹⁹, and acephate have usage but not tolerances^{5, 12}.

Sources: (Crop and Pest Summaries)

- ¹QUA. 1993-1997. EPA Quantitative Usage Analysis. Methamidophos is the only insecticide for which average numbers of applications and lbs per year are available for fresh and processed; therefore, weighted averages are given for fresh and processed.
- ²LUIS. 1998. Label Use Information System, version 5.0, EPA.
- ^{3a}QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. California Processing Tomato Industry FQPA Response. 1997. Diazinon and disulfoton were applied at plant.
- ^{3b}QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. California Tomato Research Institute report to NCFAP. Insecticide Use on California Tomatoes. 1995. Wireworms, potato aphids, and stink bugs listed as major pests in processed tomatoes.
- ^{3c}QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Pesticide Use and Usage in Michigan 1997. 1998.
- ^{3e}QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Rutgers University, NJ. 1998.
- ^{3f}QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Valent. Methamidophos. 1998.
- ^{3g}QUA+, Quantitative Usage Analysis, EPA. Atochem. Methyl Parathion. 1998.
- ³ⁱMI had ca. 2% of acreage and CA 98%⁴, so weighted average PHI is 59.
- ^{3u}MI 2%, and CA 98% of the acreage⁴, so weighted average PHI is 13.
- ⁴Agricultural Statistics. USDA. 1998.
- ⁵Proprietary EPA Quantitative Pesticide Usage. 1997.
- ⁶Proprietary EPA Quantitative Pesticide Usage. 1997.
- ^{7a}University of California, Pest Management Guidelines, Tomato. 1997.
- ^{7b}University of Florida, 1996 Florida Insect Management Guide, Insect Management in Tomatoes. 1996.
- ^{7c}Ohio Vegetable Production Guide, Tomatoes: Fresh Market and Processing, Insect Control. 1997.
- ^{7d}Purdue University [IN], Management of Insect Pests on Fresh Market Tomatoes. 1993.
- ^{7e}Cornell [NY] Cooperative Extension, Pest Management Recommendations, Control of Insect Pests of Tomatoes. 1998.
- ⁸Proprietary EPA Quantitative Pesticide Usage. 1996.
- ¹⁰Agricultural Chemical Usage Vegetables 1996. USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service. 1997.
- ¹¹Insect Control Guide. Meister Publishing. 1997.
- ¹²Tolerance Index System. EPA. 1998.
- ¹³Arthropod Management Tests. Ent. Soc. America. 1997.
- ¹⁴Arthropod Management Tests. Ent. Soc. America. 1996.
- ¹⁵Arthropod Management Tests. Ent. Soc. America. 1994.
- ¹⁶EPA Section 18 records. 1995-1998.
- ¹⁷US Geological Survey, Pesticide National Synthesis Project, Tomatoes for 1997. 1998.
- ¹⁸Balling, S., Processed Tomato Foundation, 925-944-7377, stated in telephone communication that up to 95% of processed tomatoes produced in CA. 7/8/98.
- ¹⁹FR 63:3057-3060. WWW.cas.psu.edu/docs/.
- ²⁰OP Tolerance Assessment Matrix Populating Instructions & Data Dictionary, EPA, 1998.
- ²¹Rivara, C. California Processing Tomato Industry. Comments on draft. July 17, 1998.
- ²²University of California. California Pesticide Use Summaries, Tomato, Tomato (processing/canning) for 1994. 1998.
- ²³California Dept. Pesticide Regulation and Univ. California Statewide IPM Program. Pest Management Survey Database. Tomato. 1996.
- ²⁴Agricultural Information Services, Ltd. 1997. World Pest Infestation Database. Tomato, Georgia, North Carolina, California.
- ²⁵www.nass.usda.gov/oh, ny, in. 1997 vegetable production stats. 1998.

Date: 8/3/98

Site: Tomato (Processed)

Region: California

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints/Notes on Alternatives
Timing: Seedling									
aphid (green peach) ^{7a} (major) ⁵	dimethoate ⁵	☺ ^{7a}	med ⁵		O	imidacloprid ⁵		high ⁵	Imidacloprid at plant recommended for whitefly ^{7a} .
	disulfoton ^{3a}		lo ^{3a}						
	diazinon ⁵		high ⁵						
	malathion ⁵	○ ^{7a}	med ⁵						
wireworms (major) ^{3a,5}	diazinon ^{3a,5}	● ²³ ☺ ^{3a}	high ⁵						Wireworms major ipm concern in processed production ^{3a} .
flea beetles (moderate) ^{7a,5}	methamidophos ⁵		med ⁵		C	carbaryl ^{7a,3f}	● ²³ ☺ ^{7a}	high ⁵	Carbaryl on mature fruit to remove flea beetles going for processing ^{3b}
					CH	endosulfan ^{23,3f}			
					P	esfenvalerate ^{5,3f}		high ⁵	
symphylan (moderate) ⁵	diazinon ^{3a}	○ ^{3a}							
cutworm (moderate) ^{5,7a}	methamidophos ⁵		med ⁵		C	carbaryl ^{5,3f}		high ⁵	Methomyl plus pyrethroids used for knockdown ^{3b}
					C	methomyl ^{5,3f}	● ⁵	med ^{7a}	
					P	lambda-cyhalothrin ⁵	● ⁵	lo ⁵	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Note: Over 95% of seedlings are for processing tomatoes. Analyzed pests make up >95% of OP usage.

Observations: There are no IGRs or biologicals registered. Pyriproxifen, buprofezin, and spinosad are in the pipeline currently through Sec. 18. Major pests drive OP usage; moderate and minor pests could become major if resurgence occurs. There are no alternatives for diazinon for the soil pests wireworms and symphylans.

SOURCES: See crop summary.

Date: 6/24/98

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = <5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides

Site: Tomato (Processed)

Region: California

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Foliage/fruit									
aphid (potato) ^{7a} (major) ⁵	disulfoton ⁵		low ⁵		P	permethrin ⁵		low ⁵	Dimethoate hard on beneficials and nontargets ²³
	dimethoate ^{3b,5}	☺ ^{7a}	high ⁵		C	oxamyl ⁵	○ ^{7a}	med ⁵	Dimethoate only effective treatment for the pest ^{3b}
	diazinon ⁵	● ^{7a}	low ⁵		O	imidacloprid ⁵	● ^{7a}	low ⁵	
	malathion ⁵	○ ^{7a}	med ⁵		O	insecticidal soap ⁵	○ ^{7a}	low ⁵	
	methamidophos ⁵		med ⁵		CH	endosulfan ^{5,3f}	○ ^{7a}	low ⁵	
	azinphos-methyl ⁵		med ⁵		B	rotenone ⁵		med ⁵	
	chlorpyrifos ⁵		low ⁵		C	carbaryl ^{5,3f}		low ⁵	
					P	esfenvalerate ^{5,3f}		low ⁵	
beet leafhopper ^{7a} (moderate) ⁵	methamidophos ⁵	● ^{3a}	high ⁵		C	carbaryl ^{7a}	☺ ^{7a}		
	azinphos-methyl ⁵	● ^{3a}	high ⁵		O	imidacloprid ⁵		low ⁵	
	dimethoate ⁵	● ⁵	high ⁵		P	esfenvalerate ⁵		low ⁵	

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = <5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides

Site: Tomato (Processed)

Region: California

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Foliage/fruit									
stink bugs (minor) ⁵	methamidophos ⁵	☺ ^{3b}	high ⁵		P	esfenvalerate ^{7a,3f}	○ ^{7a}	med ⁵	Noted (sporadic but increasing ²⁴) IPM pest in CA; methamidophos only effective control for it ^{3b} . Esfenvalerate tank mixed with methomyl. ^{7a} Dimethoate & methamidophos hard on beneficials and nontargets and methamidophos undesirable residues ²³ Spinosad is effective methomyl alternative ¹³ . Imidacloprid good residual activity ²³
	dimethoate ^{7a}	○ ^{7a} -☹ ²⁴			C	carbaryl ^{7a,3f}		low ⁵	
					CH	endosulfan ^{7a,3f}	☹ ^{7a,24}	low ⁵	
					O	imidacloprid ⁷	● ^{7a}		
					O	insecticidal soap ⁷	● ^{7a}		
					C	methomyl ⁷	○ ^{7a}		
lygus bugs (minor) ⁵	dimethoate ⁵	○ ^{7a}	high ⁵		C	methomyl ^{3f,5}		med ⁵	Spinosad is good methomyl alternative ¹³ Dimethoate hard on beneficials and nontargets ²³ D
	methamidophos ⁵		med ⁵		P	bifenthrin ⁵		low ⁵	
					CH	endosulfan ^{7a,3f}	☹ ^{7a}		
					P	fenpropathrin ^{7a}	● ^{7a}		
leafminers, <i>Liriomyza</i> ^{7a} (moderate) ⁵	methamidophos ⁵		med ⁵		O	abamectin ^{5,7a}	☹ ^{7a}	med ⁵	Methamidophos hard on beneficials and nontargets and has undesirable residues ²³ Natural biocontrol parasitoids vary in effectiveness ²³ . Dimethoate hard on beneficials and nontargets ²³
	diazinon ⁵		high ⁵		C	oxamyl ^{5,7a}	○ ^{7a}	high ⁵	
	dimethoate ⁵		high ⁵		P	esfenvalerate ^{7a}	○ ^{7a}	low ⁵	

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = <5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides

Site: Tomato (Processed)

Region: California

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Foliage/fruit									
tomato pinworm (moderate) ⁵	azinphos-methyl ⁵		high ⁵		O	tredecen acetate pheromone ^{7a}	☺ ^{7a}		Methomyl killed off leafminer biocontrol agents and increased populations of leafminer ¹³ ; induces secondary pests ²³
	methamidophos ⁵		low ⁵		C	methomyl ^{7a}	● ²³ ○ ^{7a}	med ⁵	
					P	esfenvalerate ^{7a}	○ ^{7a}	high ⁵	
					B	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> ⁵		med ⁵	
					C	oxamyl ⁵		high ⁵	
tomato fruitworm (moderate) ⁵	malathion ⁵		low ⁵		P	esfenvalerate ⁵	☺ ^{7a}	high ⁵	Esfenvalerate caused secondary outbreak mites, leafminers ^{7a} . Methomyl may induce secondary pests ²³
	diazinon ⁵		low ⁵		C	methomyl ⁵	○ ⁷	med ⁵	
	methamidophos ⁵		low ⁵		O	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> ⁵	● ²³ ○ ^{7a}	high ⁵	Methamidophos hard on beneficials and nontargets and has undesirable residues ²³
					O	abamectin ⁵		low ⁵	
					C	carbaryl ⁵	○ ^{7a}	low ⁵	Carbaryl effective late season ^{7a} . Carbaryl hard on beneficials and nontargets ²³
					B	<i>Trichogramma</i> wasp egg biocontrol	● ²³		
					O	cryolite ⁵		low ⁵	Wasps for suppression are very specific ^{7a}
					O	insecticidal soap ⁵		low ⁵	

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = <5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides

Site: Tomato (Processed)

Region: California

Pest	Organophosphate	Efficacy	Mkt		Class	Alt. Pesticide List	Efficacy	Mkt	Constraints of Alternatives
Timing: Foliage/fruit									
whitefly (green-house and silverleaf) ^{7a} (moderate) ⁵	methamidophos ⁵		high ⁵		O	imidacloprid ⁵	☺ ^{7a}	low ⁵	Tomato yellow leaf curl virus not yet in CA ^{7a} , when it is, preplant imidacloprid will likely have major usage.
	dimethoate ⁵		high ⁵		C	oxamyl ⁵	○ ^{7a}	low ⁵	
	diazinon ⁵		med ⁵		O	insecticidal soap ⁵		low ⁵	
					O	rotenone ⁵		low ⁵	
					P	pyrethrin ⁵		low ⁵	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Note: Analyzed pests make up >95% of OP usage.

SOURCES: See crop summary.

Date: 6/24/98

Pest Importance: Major = 20+% of all OP usage on pest; Moderate = 5-20% of all OP usage on pest; Minor = <5% of all OP usage on pest

Efficacy Rating: Excellent = ☺ Good = ○ Fair = ●

Market Share: High = use of OP represents 20+% of all insecticide usage on pest; Med = 5-20% of all usage on pest; Lo = <5% of all usage on pest

Insecticides: C = Carbamates; P = Pyrethroids; CH = Chlorinated Hydrocarbons; IGR = Insect Growth Regulators; B = Biological; O = Other pesticides